

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,467	10/700,467 11/05/2003		Masayuki Tajiri	4074-10 8801		
23117	7590	10/18/2005	EXAMINER			
NIXON & V			LAM, DAVID			
ARLINGTO		ROAD, 11TH FLOO 22203	K	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2827	<u>-</u>	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/700,46	7	TAJIRI, MASAYUKI				
Office	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		David Lam		2827				
	ING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	CTATUTODY DEDIOD C	OD DEDLY IS SET TO	SEVELE AMONTH	S) EDOM				
THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONTi - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received the	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nunication. o) days, a reply within the statu atutory period will apply and wil will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) file	ed on <u>10 August 2005</u> .						
2a) This actio	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clai	ms							
4) Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>í</u>	Claim(s) <u>1,7,8,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) <u>2-6, 9-10, 13</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Paper	<b>S</b>							
9)☐ The specif	ication is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)∏ The drawi	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
* *	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11)∐ The oath o	or declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U	I.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)  1) M Notice of Referen	res Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
3) Anformation Disclo	ratent Application (PTO-152)							

Application/Control Number: 10/700,467

Art Unit: 2827

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-13 in the reply filed on 8/10/05 is acknowledged.

# Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (4,479,106).

Regarding to claims 1, 7, Shimizu et al. disclose a variable resistor comprising: a first electrode (3a, 13a) and a second electrode (3b, 13b) facing each other and formed on a substrate; a variable resistance body (2, 12) formed between the first electrode and the second electrode,

Application/Control Number: 10/700,467

Art Unit: 2827

characterized in that the first electrode and the second electrode face each other in a direction of a surface of the substrate, wherein the first electrode is columnar. See Figs. 3-5; Cols. 3-5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. (4,479,106) in view of Moos et al. (6,144,286).

Regarding to claims 8, 11, Shimizu et al. disclose a variable resistor comprising: a first electrode (3a, 13a) and a second electrode (3b, 13b) facing each other and formed on a substrate; a variable resistance body (2, 12) formed between the first electrode and the second electrode, characterized in that the first electrode and the second electrode face each other in a direction of a surface of the substrate, wherein the first electrode is columnar. See Figs. 3-5; Cols. 3-5.

Shimizu et al. lack an inclusion of wherein the resistance body is mode of a manganese oxide of a perovskite structure.

Moos et al. Disclose a resistor with the resistance body is made of a manganese oxide of a perovskite structure. See Fig 2; Cols. 3-6.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the resistance body that made of a manganese oxide of a perovskite structure of Shimizu et al. resistor to provide a reliable temperature coefficient.

Art Unit: 2827

5. Claim 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al. (4,479,106) in view of Moos et al. (6,144,286) as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Doerr et al. (2004/0096699).

Regarding to claim 12, Shimizu et al. (4,479,106) and Moos et al. (6,144,286) disclose the propose device as noted above.

Shimizu et al. (4,479,106) in view of Moos et al. (6,144,286) lack an inclusion of wherein the manganese oxide is Pr.sub.(1-x)Ca.sub.xMnO.sub.3.

Doerr et al. disclose a resistive component comprising compound of manganese oxide that is Pr.sub.(1-x)Ca.sub.xMnO.sub.3.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form manganese oxide that is Pr.sub.(1-x)Ca.sub.xMnO.sub.3. of Shimizu et al. and Moos et al.'s propose device in order to provide a good service life and easy maintain of the properties of the component. See. Page 1.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-6, 9-10, 13 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach the above noted variable resistor and wherein the nonvolatile resistance body is formed on an outer surface of the first electrode, and the second electrode is formed on an outer surface of the nonvolatile variable resistance body.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,467

Art Unit: 2827

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

- Onishi et al. (6,434,815) disclose a variable resistor incorporated in a rotor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1782. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hoai Ho can be reached on 571-272-1777. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Lam

October 17, 2005

DAVID LAM

Page 5

DOUALDY FYAMINER